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### 7.1 Game Theory and Minimax Theorem

To recap, we introduced the case of a zero-sum, one-shot, two player matrix game. The game is described by the payoff matix $M$, whose element $m_{i j}$ denotes the value player A sends to player B when actions $i$ and $j$ are chosen respectively.

Today, we continue our discussion on the result of such games,

### 7.1.1 Pure Strategy

When executing a pure strategy, a player only makes deterministic choices. Here's how such a game would unfold:

1. Alice chooses a row $i$.
2. Bob, after observing Alice's strategy (in this case, row $i$ ), chooses a column $j$.
3. Alice pays $M_{i j}$ to Bob.

Since we assume both players are rational agents, the result is simple:

- When Alice goes first, $\min _{i} \max _{j} M_{i j}$ is payed.
- When Bob goes first, $\max _{j} \min _{i} M_{i j}$ is payed.

It can be proved that the second player always have the upper hand. In mathematical terms,

$$
\min _{i} \max _{j} M_{i j} \geq \max _{j} \min _{i} M_{i j}
$$

### 7.1.2 Mixed Strategy

A mixed strategy can be viewed as a probabilistic combination of pure strategies. A mixed strategy game would proceed as follows:

1. Alice chooses a probability distribution $p$ over the rows.
2. Bob, after observing Alice's strategy (i.e. $p$ ), chooses probability distribution $q$ over the columns.
3. Alice pays $\operatorname{Bob} p^{\top} M q$.

Since the choices are probabilistic, $p^{\top} M q$ is the expectation of final results. Again, assuming Alice and Bob are rational, we get:

- When Alice goes first, an expected $\min _{p} \max _{q} p^{\top} M q$ is payed.
- When Bob goes first, an expected $\max _{q} \min _{p} p^{\top} M q$ is payed.

Note that $p, q$ cannot be any arbitrary vector, but are rather probability vectors with non-negative entries that add up to one.

The eminent question is: what's the relationship between these values? Does the second player still hold an advantage? John von Neumann answered this is his 1928 paper[VN28].

## Theorem 7.1 (John von Neumann Minimax Theorem)

1. $\min _{p} \max _{q} p^{\top} M q=\max _{q} \min _{p} p^{\top} M q$
2. Equivalently, $\exists\left(p^{*}, q^{*}\right)$ s.t. $\forall p, q, p^{*} M q \leq p^{*} M q^{*} \leq p M q^{*}$, and $\left(p^{*}, q^{*}\right)$ is the equilibrium.

The original proof was given via a generalization of the Brouwer fixed-point theorem. Although topology is beyond the scope of this course, a proof using ML theory will be given in future lectures.

We also consider a generalization of this theorem, given by Maurice Sion[S58], which would soon come in handy in our following discussion on Lagrange duality.

## Theorem 7.2 (Sion's Minimax Theorem)

Let $f(x, y)$ be a function. If for any fixed $y, f(x, y)$ is convex in $x$, and for any fixed $x, f(x, y)$ is concave in $y$, Then:

1. $\min _{x} \max _{y} f(x, y)=\max _{y} \min _{x} f(x, y)$
2. $\exists\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)$ s.t. $\forall x, y, f\left(x^{*}, y\right) \leq f\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right) \leq f\left(x, y^{*}\right)$

### 7.2 Lagrange Duality

In optimization, duality allows optimization problems to be viewed from two perspectives: the primal form and the dual form. Adopting the dual form allows for new insight, while often preserving the optimal value.

Let's consider the following primal optimization problem:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
(P) \quad \min _{x} & f(x) \\
& g_{i}(x) \leq 0, \quad i \in[m] \\
& h_{i}(x)=0, \quad i \in[n] .
\end{array}
$$

Where $f$ and $g_{i}$ 's are convex functions, and $h_{i}$ 's are linear. The $P$ here denotes primal form.
We now transform this problem to its dual form.

Step 1. It can be shown that the following optimization problem is equivalent to the primal problem,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min _{x} \max _{\lambda, \mu} f(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} g_{i}(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i} h_{i}(x) \\
\text { s.t. } \lambda \geq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

as when one of the constraints is not satisfied, the corresponding $\lambda_{i}$ or $\mu_{i}$ can make the function value arbitrarily large. We call this new objective function the Lagrange function, denoted as $L(x ; \lambda, \mu)$.

$$
L(x ; \lambda, \mu):=f(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} g_{i}(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i} h_{i}(x)
$$

Step 2. We now apply the Sion's Minimax Theorem on this min-max optimization problem. The theorem constraints are satisfied $\left(L\left(x ; \lambda_{0}, \mu_{0}\right)\right.$ is the non-negative weighted sum of convex functions; $L\left(x_{0} ; \lambda, \mu\right)$ is linear, therefore concave in $\lambda, \mu)$. Thus

$$
\min _{x} \max _{\lambda, \mu: \lambda \geq 0} L(x ; \lambda, \mu)=\max _{\lambda, \mu: \lambda \geq 0} \min _{x} L(x ; \lambda, \mu)
$$

Combining steps 1 and 2, we now consider the problem $\max _{\lambda, \mu: \lambda \geq 0} \min _{x} L(x ; \lambda, \mu)$. Solving $\nabla_{x} L(x ; \lambda, \mu)=0$, we get $x^{*}=\varphi(\lambda, \mu)$.

Step 3. Substituting $x$ with $\varphi(\lambda, \mu)$, we get the dual problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max _{\lambda, \mu} f(\varphi(\lambda, \mu))+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} g_{i}(\varphi(\lambda, \mu))+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i} h_{i}(\varphi(\lambda, \mu))  \tag{D}\\
& \text { s.t. } \lambda \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

### 7.3 Example: Optimal Margin Classifier

Previously, we posed the optimization problem for finding the optimal margin classifier:

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
(P) & \min _{w, b} & \frac{1}{2}\|w\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \text { s.t. } & y_{i}\left(w^{T} x_{i}+b\right) \geq 1, \forall i \in[n],
\end{array}
$$

We now develop its dual form. The problem can be rewritten as:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\max _{\lambda} \min _{w, b} \frac{1}{2}\|w\|_{2}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\left(1-y_{i}\left(w^{T} x_{i}+b\right)\right) \\
\text { s.t. } \lambda \geq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

Setting the derivative of $L$ with respect to $w, b$ to zero, we get

$$
\begin{array}{r}
w=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} y_{i} x_{i} \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} y_{i}=0
\end{array}
$$

Plugging this back to the Lagragian function, we get the dual form

$$
\begin{aligned}
(D) \quad \min _{\lambda} & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{j} y_{i} y_{j} x_{i}^{\top} x_{j}-\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \\
\text { s.t. } & \lambda_{i} \geq 0, \quad i \in[n] \\
& \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} y_{i}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the dual problem is still a quadratic programming problem: the first term is a quadratic form derived from a Gram matrix, which is positive-semidefinite.
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